	(Original Signature of Member)
116TH CONGRESS 2D SESSION	H. R
of a drug to use a test for purposes of	od, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to allow the sponsor non-animal test as an alternative to an animal demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes
	USE OF REPRESENTATIVES f Pennsylvania introduced the following bill; which
was referred to the Con	mmittee on
	A BILL
To amend the Feder	ral Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to
allow the sponsor	r of a drug to use a non-animal test
as an alternative	to an animal test for purposes of dem-

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

onstrating the safety and effectiveness of a drug if such

approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable

2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

statutes and regulations.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- This Act may be cited as the "Alternatives to Ani-
- 3 mals for Regulatory Fairness Act of 2020" or the "AARF
- 4 Act of 2020".

5 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 6 The Congress finds that—
- 7 (1) the Food and Drug Administration (in this 8 section referred to as the "FDA") often requires 9 pharmaceutical companies to conduct or commission 10 testing on dogs and other animals to assess the safe-11 ty or effectiveness of new drugs, even though such

testing is inefficient, expensive, and ineffective;

- (2) the National Institutes of Health states, "Approximately 30 percent of promising medications have failed in human clinical trials because they are found to be toxic despite promising preclinical studies in animal models. About 60 percent of candidate drugs fail due to lack of efficacy";
- (3) current FDA nonbinding pharmaceutical testing guidelines support the use of alternatives to animal testing to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of drug development;
- (4) current FDA drug testing guidance for the pharmaceutical industry states, "consideration should be given to use of new in vitro alternative methods for safety evaluation";

1	(5) the FDA's drug testing guidance for indus-
2	try additionally states, "alternative ap-
3	proachescan also be used The use of any of
4	these approaches can reduce overall animal use in
5	drug development'';
6	(6) the FDA writes that alternatives to animal
7	testing, "may help bring FDA-regulated products to
8	market faster, with improved efficacy, or prevent
9	products with increased toxicological risk from
10	reaching the market. Also critical is the potential for
11	these advances to replace, reduce, and/or refine ani-
12	mal testing";
13	(7) pharmaceutical companies are reducing ani-
14	mal testing by investing in the development and use
15	of alternative methods, which studies show are often
16	more effective and efficient than traditional animal
17	use;
18	(8) the FDA states, "FDA encourages sponsors
19	to consult with us if they wish to use a non-animal
20	testing method they believe is suitable, adequate,
21	validated, and feasible"; and
22	(9) in some cases, drug manufacturers and
23	sponsors have not been allowed by the FDA to use
24	alternatives to animal testing to fulfill regulatory re-

- 1 quirements, despite the FDA's support for this tech-
- 2 nology in its industry guidance document.
- 3 SEC. 3. ALTERNATIVES TO ANIMAL TESTS.
- 4 Section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic
- 5 Act (21 U.S.C. 355) is amended by adding at the end the
- 6 following new subsection:
- 7 "(z) Alternatives to Animal Tests.—The Sec-
- 8 retary shall allow the sponsor of a drug to use a non-ani-
- 9 mal test as an alternative to an animal test for purposes
- 10 of demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a drug
- 11 under this section if such approach satisfies the require-
- 12 ments of the applicable statutes and regulations.".